

# WHAT SPRINGER NATURE AUTHORS ARE SAYING ABOUT PEER REVIEW



The review reports were very useful in improving my manuscript. They gave me some details and viewpoints I was able to follow to get a good paper.

**Excellent reviewing.** We learnt a lot from the comments. Special thanks to the reviewers.

The quality of the reviewers and their comments really encouraged me to consider publishing in the future with [this] journal.

**Thank you to our reviewers!** They definitely made the paper much stronger overall.

I am extremely satisfied with the review reports that I received in spite of the fact that the reviewers raised many points for the revision of the paper. Of course, this major revision significantly improved the paper and I am thankful to the reviewers for their excellent and very detailed work with the paper.

I am so thankful for the reviewers who helped me to improve my paper scientifically and provide great opinions for presentation of the work in everything, even the title. Thank you peer reviewers for your time, valuable thoughts, and advice.

## Feedback is critical as we work towards creating a better experience for both our reviewers and authors

Overall, a fine peer review process. The only caveat again was the length of time it took for the peer reviewer to read my revisions. Editor was professional and responsive.

Unfortunately, we received no reviewer feedback comments on our submission, which was a little disappointing. As a reviewer for 3 journals myself, I always provide comments for the author(s) so they know I have made the effort and taken the time to read their work thoroughly and with understanding of what they have set out to achieve.

Learn more about what we're doing to help open up the submission and the review process with [In Review](#)

## OUR JOURNAL AUTHOR SATISFACTION SURVEYS ARE REPORTING GREAT RESULTS



Of the authors who took our survey:

<p><b>93%</b> </p> <p>either agreed or strongly agreed that the review reports were <b>sufficiently detailed and informative</b></p>	<p><b>93%</b> </p> <p>either agreed or strongly agreed that the review reports were useful in <b>improving their manuscript</b></p>	<p><b>90%</b></p> <p>either agreed or strongly agreed that the review reports demonstrated a <b>good understanding of the topic</b></p>	<p>Only <b>1%</b> of respondents disagreed that the review reports were sufficiently detailed and informative</p>
--	---	---	---

\*As data reported from February 2017-October 2018

Interested in brushing up on your peer review skills? Take one of our peer review tutorials:



Discover more peer review resources at [springernature.com](http://springernature.com)